

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
ROBERT A. VAN NEST - #84065
2 BRIAN L. FERRALL - #160847
DAVID SILBERT - #173128
3 MICHAEL S. KWUN - #198945
633 Battery Street
4 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
Telephone: (415) 391-5400
5 Email: rvannest@kvn.com;
bferrall@kvn.com; dsilbert@kvn.com;
6 mkwun@kvn.com

SUSAN CREIGHTON, SBN 135528
SCOTT A. SHER, SBN 190053
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
1700 K Street NW, Fifth Floor
Washington, D.C., 20006-3817
Telephone: (202) 973-8800
Email: screighton@wsgr.com;
ssher@wsgr.com

7
8 JONATHAN M. JACOBSON, NY SBN 1350495
CHUL PAK (*pro hac vice*)
DAVID H. REICHENBERG (*pro hac vice*)
9 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
10 1301 Avenue Of The Americas, 40th Floor
New York, NY 10019-6022
11 Telephone: (212) 999-5800
Email: jjacobson@wsgr.com; cpak@wsgr.com;
12 dreichenberg@wsgr.com

13 Attorneys for Defendant
14 ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17 SAN JOSE DIVISION

18 CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,

22 Defendant.

Case No. 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (NC)

**DECLARATION OF AUDREY HADLOCK
IN SUPPORT OF ARISTA'S OPPOSITION
TO CISCO'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT
AS A MATTER OF LAW UNDER RULE
50(B)**

Hearing Date: April 27, 2017
Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: Courtroom 3 - 5th Floor
Judge: Hon. Beth Labson Freeman

Date Filed: December 5, 2014

Trial Date: November 21, 2016

1 I, Audrey Hadlock, declare:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and am an
3 associate with the law firm of Keker & Van Nest LLP, located at 633 Battery Street,
4 San Francisco, California 94111, counsel for Defendant Arista Networks, Inc. (“Arista”) in the
5 above-referenced action. Unless otherwise stated, the facts I set forth in this declaration are based
6 on my personal knowledge or knowledge I obtained through my review of corporate records or
7 other investigation. If called to testify as a witness, I could and would testify competently to such
8 facts under oath.

9 2. I submit this declaration in support of Arista’s Opposition to Cisco’s Motion for
10 Judgment as a Matter of Law under Rule 50(B).

11 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of an article titled
12 “Introduction to CISCO IOS Software,” dated October 31, 2003, admitted at trial in this matter as
13 Trial Exhibit 760.

14 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of Cisco’s Parser-Police
15 Manifesto, version 1.7.1, dated January 13, 1999 and admitted at trial in this matter as Trial
16 Exhibit 851.

17 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of a Cisco Summary
18 Exhibit admitted at trial in this matter as Trial Exhibit 4789.

19 6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of RFC 1883, “Internet
20 Protocol, Version 6, (IPv6) Specification,” dated December 1995 and admitted at trial in this
21 matter as Trial Exhibit 5040.

22 7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct copy of deposition testimony
23 designations for Dell witness Gavin Cato that were played for the jury at trial in this matter and
24 marked as Trial Exhibit 9081.

25 8. Attached hereto as **Exhibit F** is a true and correct copy of a Cisco Summary
26 Exhibit re Output Copying admitted at trial in this matter as Trial Exhibit 4800.

27 9. Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** is a true and correct copy of a Cisco presentation
28 admitted at trial in this matter as Trial Exhibit 7543.

1 10. Attached hereto as **Exhibit H** is a true and correct copy of a table illustrating
2 Actual Documented Arista EOS Command Syntaxes admitted at trial in this matter as Trial
3 Exhibit 9037.

4 11. Attached hereto as **Exhibit I** is a true and correct copy of a Cisco Summary
5 Exhibit re Help Description Analysis admitted at trial in this matter as Trial Exhibit 4799.

6 12. Attached hereto as **Exhibit J** is a true and correct copy of excerpts from RFC 793,
7 entitled “Transmission Control Protocol,” dated September 1981 and admitted at trial in this
8 matter as Trial Exhibit 5724.

9 13. Attached hereto as **Exhibit K** is a true and correct copy of excerpts from RFC
10 1131, entitled “The OSPF Specification,” dated October 1989 and admitted at trial in this matter
11 as Trial Exhibit 5038.

12 14. Attached hereto as **Exhibit L** is a true and correct copy of RFC 1067, entitled “A
13 Simple Network Management Protocol,” dated August 1988 and admitted at trial in this matter as
14 Trial Exhibit 5131.

15 15. Attached hereto as **Exhibit M** is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the
16 Spanning-Tree Standard from the IEEE, dated March 8, 1991 and admitted at trial in this matter
17 as Trial Exhibit 6952.

18 16. Attached hereto as **Exhibit N** is a true and correct copy of RFC 2236 (Updates:
19 1112), the IGMP version 2 standard, dated November 1997 and admitted at trial in this matter as
20 Trial Exhibit 6877.

21 17. Attached hereto as **Exhibit O** is a true and correct copy of RFC 2117, the PIM
22 Specification, dated June 1997 and admitted at trial in this matter as Trial Exhibit 6870.

23 18. Attached here to as **Exhibit P** is a true and correct copy of RFC 3618, the MSDP
24 Protocol, dated October 2003 and admitted at trial in this matter as Trial Exhibit 6910.

25 19. Attached hereto as **Exhibit Q** is a true and correct copy of RFC 1195 regarding the
26 Use of OSI IS-IS for Routing in TCP/IP and Dual Environments, dated December 1990 and
27 admitted at trial in this matter as Trial Exhibit 6824.

28 20. Attached hereto as **Exhibit R** is a true and correct copy of RFC 2338, the Virtual

1 Router Redundancy Protocol, dated April 1998 and admitted at trial in this matter as Trial Exhibit
2 6881.


3 21. Attached hereto as **Exhibit S** is a true and correct copy of deposition testimony
4 designations for Douglas Gourlay that were played for the jury at trial in this matter and marked
5 as Trial Exhibit 9079.

6 22. Attached hereto as **Exhibit T** is a true and correct copy of deposition testimony
7 designations for Greg Satz that were played for the jury at trial in this matter and marked as Trial
8 Exhibit 9073.

9 23. Attached hereto as **Exhibit U** is a true and correct copy of RFC 791, the Internet
10 Protocol for the DARPA Internet Program, dated September 1981 and admitted at trial in this
11 matter as Trial Exhibit 6944.

12
13 Executed January 31, 2017 at San Francisco, California.

14 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
15 foregoing is true and correct.

16
17 
18 AUDREY HADLOCK